



Town of Ashland

MASSACHUSETTS

MEETING MINUTES
ASHLAND CONSERVATION COMMISSION
April 26, 2021

1
2 Present: Gene Crouch (Chair)
3 William Moulton (Vice Chair)
4 Cathy Van Lancker
5 Gabriel Toledo
6 Greg Wands
7 Carl Hakansson
8
9 Maeghan Dos Anjos (Agent)

10
11 Absent: K.G. Narayana

12
13 **Meeting held by Zoom**

14
15 **Call to order: 7:05 P.M.**

16
17 **7:05 Mr. Crouch reviewed the protocol for the meeting**

18
19 **7:08 Member Prerogative**

20 Mr. Crouch and Mr. Moulton discussed the site visits that they performed, while the Agent was
21 out of the office. Site visits were performed at the following properties:

- 22 • Hopkinton Reservoir: preconstruction meeting
- 23 • 19 Mulhall Drive: tree removal within wetland jurisdiction
- 24 • Public Safety Building (12 E. Union Street): Plan change request for stormwater system
- 25 • Mass DOT replication work (Pond Street/ Cedar Street): Request for boulders near the
- 26 wetland replication area

27
28 **7:16 RDA, 32 Nickerson Road Realty Trust, 32 Nickerson Road, culvert maintenance**

29 Mr. David Cowell (Representative- Hancock Associates) and Mr. Will Maley
30 (Owner/Applicant) were present for the meeting.

31
32 Mr. Cowell said that debris had accumulated in all of the culverts that are on the site, and that
33 the owner had informed him that there was an issue with ponding and flooding. Mr. Cowell
34 said that Hancock Associates and the owner did a site walk and determined that the flooding is
35 caused by obstructions within the culverts. Mr. Cowell said that they determined that the
36 removal of these obstructions will alleviate the flooding and ponding issues.

37
38 Mr. Cowell stated that there is a set of two 24" HDPE pipes and a 30" reinforced concrete pipe
39 on the neighboring property. Mr. Cowell stated that they will remove a few inches of sediment
40 by hand.

41

42 Mr. Cowell shared his plan, and said that a log had obstructed the opening of the culverts. Mr.
43 Cowell said that when it was removed, it had appeared that some of the sediment had washed
44 out.

45
46 Ms. Van Lancker asked what they will do with the debris itself. Mr. Cowell said that trash will
47 be removed properly, and natural materials will be disposed of on-site off of the bank of the
48 stream. Mr. Crouch asked about the flow direction of the stream, and Mr. Cowell showed the
49 direction on the plans.

50
51 Mr. Dan Brewster (Hancock Associates) said that the culverts are not 50 percent full and the
52 work to remove sediment and debris can be done by hand. Mr. Crouch said that the two- 24”
53 culverts are 20’ long and that is quite a way for a hand tool to reach. Mr. Cowell said that they
54 are cleaning some of the sediment between the culverts along the stream bank, and within the
55 two 24” culverts.

56
57 Ms. Van Lancker asked why the sediment needs to be removed. Mr. Cowell said that it is still
58 serving as an obstruction, and it may clear on its own when they clear the culvert. Ms. Van
59 Lancker asked if this was the best way. Mr. Crouch said that the only problem with that is that
60 it may silt up the downstream culvert under Route 126 and the Town [state] would have to
61 clear that.

62
63 Mr. Crouch said that he is trying to understand how this will help alleviate that. Mr. Crouch
64 said that you are saying that there is not much sediment in the culvert so more water can get
65 from across the two-24” culverts than the one 30” culvert. Mr. Crouch said that he is thinking
66 that the back-up is the 30” culvert, and it’s going to be your limiting factor. Mr. Cowell said
67 that it looked like the 30” culvert had the branch in front of it and the branch was collecting all
68 of the leaf litter behind it.

69
70 Mr. Crouch asked if it is their request to dredge the stream. Mr. Cowell said that the removal
71 of the sediment and debris is the desire of the applicant given the recommendation of their
72 [Hancock Associate’s] engineer. Mr. Cowell clarified that the engineer had suggested that they
73 straighten the line between the pipes and that there would be less of a chance for it to silt back
74 up again.

75
76 Mr. Crouch asked how far will you dig down. Mr. Cowell said that it will be about 6”. Mr.
77 Crouch asked what is growing on the banks. Mr. Cowell said that it is mostly gravel. Mr.
78 Hakansson asked if we say you need a NOI, will you withdraw your RDA. Mr. Cowell
79 confirmed that they would. Mr. Hakansson said that there has been a problem along the stream
80 due to a mish mash of pipes and pipe sizes throughout the course of the stream. Mr. Crouch
81 said that the Commission was trying to get Mass DOT to upsize their culverts when they filed a
82 NOI with the Commission.

83
84 Mr. Wands said that the rate of accumulation seems high, and asked if they knew where the
85 sediment was coming from, and how long it took for it to accumulate. Mr. Cowell said he does
86 not know. Mr. Maley said that it is probably a foot of material over the course of 20 years.
87 Mr. Maley explained that they do not want to take out all of the sediment, and that the neighbor
88 has not been paying attention to their culvert. Mr. Maley said that they want to straighten the

89 flow because the stream has started to meander to the left, and it used to be straight. Mr.
90 Hakansson asked if they can let that move forward with the condition of ongoing maintenance
91 to prevent this from happening again. Mr. Cowell said that is what they are hoping for. Mr.
92 Crouch said that he has no problem with pulling a stick, but he is concerned about the channel
93 and alterations without a review. Mr. Hakansson clarified his statement. Mr. Crowell said to
94 Mr. Crouch that his concern is fair.

95

96 Mr. Hakansson made a motion, seconded by Ms. Van Lancker to issue a Negative DOA with
97 the condition that the culvert be maintained in perpetuity. Motion passed 6-0-0. (Roll call
98 vote: CH, GT, CVL, GW, WM, GC).

99

100 **Mr. Moulton recused himself for the Town of Ashland, RDA**

101

102 **7:50 RDA, Town of Ashland, Main Street Bridge, geotechnical borings**

103 Ms. Melissa Coady (Representative- Tighe and Bond) was present for the discussion.

104

105 Ms. Coady said that four geotechnical borings are proposed on the Main Street bridge over
106 Cold Spring Brook. Ms. Coady said that work will take place in the 100' Buffer Zone and the
107 200' Riverfront Area. Ms. Coady displayed the plans and explained the flood plain on site has
108 an elevation of 168', and that the borings will occur above the designated floodplain at an
109 elevation of 171.75'. Mr. Crouch said that he has never seen that area flood.

110

111 Ms. Coady said that they are exempt under the Wetlands Protection Act, but are seeking a
112 Negative DOA under the bylaw. Ms. Coady finalized her comments by saying that all of the
113 borings will take place in the paved roadway.

114

115 Mr. Toledo made a motion seconded by Ms. Van Lancker to issue a Negative DOA. Motion
116 passed 5-0-0. (Roll call vote: CH, GT, CVL, GW, GC).

117

118 **Mr. Moulton returned to the meeting**

119

120 **8:00 NOI, Town of Ashland, Waushakum Pond, treatment**

121 The Agent presented the project.

122

123 The Agent said that Waushakum Pond borders in Ashland and Framingham with 10 Acres in
124 Ashland. The Agent said that a few years ago, neighbors started calling the Town concerned
125 about a strong odor coming from the Pond, and strong algae blooms. The Agent explained that
126 this happens on the Framingham side as well, but Ashland has the shallowest depths.

127

128 Waushakum has a total of 85 Acres and is considered a *Great Pond* under M.G.L. c. 91. The
129 Agent explained that this means that the State holds the title and it is to be used for recreational
130 purposes. The Agent said that Waushakum has a public beach on Nipmuc Road, in
131 Framingham, and a boat ramp on Lakeside Drive, in Ashland.

132

133 The Agent summarized all of the resources on the Pond, and the ones that will be impacted.
134 The Agent stated that this project triggers review under Land under Waterbodies and

135 Waterways, and Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP). The Agent said
136 that NHESP has issued a letter and that it was forwarded to the Commission.

137
138 In addition, the Agent explained that the Town is required to come up with a 303 (d) list which
139 lists waterbodies and their impairments. The Agent said that Waushakum Pond has a few
140 impairments which are as follows:

- 141 1. Non-native aquatic plants
- 142 2. Total Phosphorous
- 143 3. Dissolved Oxygen
- 144 4. Turbidity

145
146 The Agent said that what is interesting at Waushakum is that historically, abutters have
147 complained about the growth of native and non-native plants. The Agent explained that
148 increase phosphorous has increase algae and plant growth and that can lead to reduced
149 Dissolved Oxygen. The Agent stated that in 2011, there was a fish kill incident to white perch.
150 The Department of Environmental Protection, and the Massachusetts Fisheries and Wildlife
151 did a visit and sampled fish and collected tissue. The Agent said that the fish kill incident was
152 related to low Dissolved Oxygen and she could testify to this because she had been on the boat
153 with the State at that time.

154
155 The Agent said one thing she wanted to make clear is that the overall program is not just to
156 treat the Pond. The Agent explained that the Lake Association wants to try and eliminate the
157 phosphorous, and not the plant species within Waushakum Pond. The Agent explained that
158 their goal is more environmentally sustainable and said that the treatment is a short-term plan.

159
160 The Agent also spoke about a Phosphorous Control Plan that is currently being prepared by the
161 Department of Public Works, and their consultant, Fuss & O'Neill Inc.

162
163 The Agent also discussed the importance of the use of Triclopyr and discussed the various
164 options for treating Waushakum. The Agent said that the method needs to be determined by
165 the selected aquatic control specialist. The Agent said that notification will be given to the
166 abutters within two weeks of the targeted date, and information will be posted in the weekly
167 newsletter that gets emailed. The Agent said that a legal notice will also be posted.

168
169 Ms. Van Lancker asked if the City of Framingham and the Town of Ashland have been
170 working together on a unified program. The Agent explained that the Ashland NOI has been
171 filed in line with Framingham's OOC. The Agent said that if the same contractor is selected,
172 she is hoping to save some funding.

173
174 Mr. Hakansson asked about the status of the Phosphorous Control Plan (PCP), who is paying
175 for it, and when it will be implemented. The Agent said that the Town has been talking about
176 Waushakum for a while now and the PCP has goals to identify the source of the PCP, and
177 select properties or areas for long term structural methods for removing phosphorous. The
178 Agent said that the problem is that the watershed is largely in Ashland, but it is mostly
179 developed and structural BMPs may need to go into the road and disturbed grounds.

180

181 Mr. Hakansson asked where the plan is and who is paying for it. The Agent said that the
182 Stormwater Management Utility is paying for it as a requirement of the MS4 Permit, and
183 implementation will depend on the satisfaction of the EPA, DPW, and Fuss & O'Neill. Mr.
184 Hakansson said people up-gradient are causing a problem for those down-gradient. Mr.
185 Hakansson said that the problem will continue unless a plan is implemented.

186
187 Mr. Nicholas Iarussi (39 Lakeside Drive, and member of Lake Association) said that a long
188 term goal is being discussed and studies have been undergoing concerning the use of aluminum
189 to bind with the Phosphorous. Mr. Iarussi said that the Association has looked into this, and
190 that they will probably talk more about this.

191
192 The Agent displayed the overall plant inventory.

193
194 Ms. Joan Gonfrade [1 Shore Road] said that they had attended a meeting at the Memorial
195 Building in Framingham a few years ago, and they said something about an invasive plant
196 [Milfoil]. Ms. Godfrey asked if that will be targeted. Mr. Hakansson and Mr. Crouch said
197 yes, that is what they are treating. Ms. Gonfrade said that her concern is the lily pads, because
198 it has grown quite past their dock.

199
200 Ms. Gonfrade said that they had spoken about pulling the plants out of the lake, and asked if
201 there is anything in the plan for that. Mr. Crouch said that the herbicide that they are planning
202 to use is non-specific and it will kill all of the items that it hits. Mr. Crouch said that pond
203 lilies may resist it harder than the algae. Mr. Crouch asked if lilies will be targeted. The Agent
204 said that it depends, and that there is an area that is too shallow and the contractors cannot get
205 there. The Agent explained that where Joan is talking about, they will treat there.

206
207 Mr. Iarussi said that he would like to thank the Commission and the Agent. Mr. Iarussi said
208 that he is excited to see where we are tonight. Mr. Iarussi explained that he lives all the way in
209 the back of the cove, and that he has photos from when he was young. Mr. Iarussi said that he
210 has lived there since he was eight years old and he used to go kayaking there, but now it is so
211 dense. Mr. Iarussi stated that the Pond is becoming eutrophic.

212
213 Ms. Gonfrade thanked the Agent and the Commission. Ms. Gonfrade said that she knows that
214 some of her neighbors cannot use zoom and they asked that she thanked the Commission on
215 their behalf. She concluded her comments by saying that the Association has done a lot of
216 work.

217
218 The Agent thanked Ms. Gonfrade and Mr. Iarussi.

219
220 Mr. Hakansson said that there seems to a direct correlation between the development of the
221 watershed in the last 30 years, and the eutrophication of Waushakum Pond. Mr. Hakansson
222 said that it is probably a good bet that the phosphorous is coming from there. Mr. Hakansson
223 said that until we find a way to reduce the phosphorous, it is going to continue as an ongoing
224 problem, and that this is an unintentional consequence of development. Mr. Hakansson said
225 that he remembers when the pond pretty clear and it was not 100 years ago. Mr. Hakansson
226 explained that it seems like in the last 20-30 years, it has really filled in, extensively.

227

228 Mr. Gonfrade agreed with Mr. Hakansson’s comments. Mr. Iarussi explained background
229 information and current status of the Lake Association.

230
231 The Agent said that the Town is trying to determine where the outfalls are and there are many
232 outfalls in Framingham, but the watershed is mostly, if not entirely in Framingham. Mr.
233 Hakansson said that the Agent is right. Mr. Iarussi said the Association has created three
234 different studies over the years, and each study has contributed the phosphorous as coming
235 from the Ashland tributaries. Mr. Iarussi said that all of the outfalls in Ashland function, but
236 some of the outfalls in Framingham aren’t even working.

237
238 Ms. Van Lancker made a motion, seconded by Mr. Hakansson to close the hearing. Motion
239 passed 6-0-0. (Roll call vote: CH, GT, CVL, GW, WM, GC).

240
241 **Mr. Crouch recused himself from the Request for COC, 95-873, hearing.**

242
243 **8:32 Request for COC, 95-873, The Green Company, 466 Chestnut Street, “Lanterns at**
244 **Warren Woods”**

245 Mr. Gregory Howell (Applicant- The Green Company), and Mr. Curt Quitzau (Representative-
246 VHB) were present for the discussion.

247
248 Mr. Moulton asked the Agent if the Applicant met all of requirements. The Agent stated that
249 when she walked the site, everything was in compliance with the OOC. The Agent said that
250 she had asked the Applicant to revise the as-built plans to display the wetlands, and buffer
251 zone. The Agent said that they revised the as-built plans and forwarded them. Mr. Moulton
252 asked if they were in compliance with the comments from GCG [peer review for the Planning
253 Board]. The Agent stated that she did not have a chance to review that.

254
255 Mr. Quitzau spoke about the comments and responses from GCG and The Green Company/
256 VHB. Mr. Moulton asked if they were in compliance from GCG’s comments. Mr. Quitzau
257 stated that they believed so. Mr. Quitzau displayed the as-built plans, and their narrative.

258
259 Mr. Hakansson said that he knew that there were stormwater runoff issues near Mr. Hannah’s
260 property [65 Eliot Street] and asked if that has been addressed. Mr. Quitzau said that was
261 addressed in the fall of 2020. Mr. Hakansson said that everything seems to be working fine.

262
263 Mr. Hakansson made a motion seconded by Mr. Toledo to issue a COC. Motion passed 5-0-0.
264 (Roll call vote: CH, GT, GW, CVL, WM).

265
266 The Commission and Mr. Quitzau spoke about the value of the partnership between the
267 developer, consulting firm and the Town. Mr. Quitzau stated that they are looking for more
268 opportunities in Ashland. Mr. Howell thanked the Commission on behalf of the Green
269 Company.

270
271 **Mr. Crouch returned to the meeting.**

272
273 **8:47 Request for COC, 95-878, Campanelli Acquisitions II, LLC. Cirrus Apartments**
274 No one was present for the discussion.

275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321

Mr. Crouch stated the Commission did a site inspection on Sunday, April 18, 2021, and that the engineer has not submitted the minutes from the inspection. Mr. Crouch said that there were a few items that needed to be addressed:

1. The slope in the back of the site has slumped within a few locations, and at the bottom of the slope, there is groundwater seeping. Mr. Crouch said that they had discussed the installation of a french drain or other structural BMPs there to drain the water off so it is not collected at the surface. Mr. Crouch said that he was worried about icing across the road during the winter season.
2. The back corner of the site near the dog park contains an area that is not stabilized. The area was used for staging purposes when the solar panels were installed on the roofs of the complex. It needs to be stabilized and sediment is washing off into the catch basin near the dog park. The curb adjacent to the catch basin needs to be corrected to keep the water and sediment from entering the road and the catch basin, and to stabilize the above area.
3. There are a lot of dogs in the complex, and there is a lot of dog waste throughout the complex. Mr. Crouch expressed how this is a source of phosphorous and nitrogen to the wetland.

The Commission discussed other observations from the site visit which included that the erosion controls were pulled, and the basin is functioning now.

Mr. Hakansson further discussed the groundwater seepage along the big slope to the rear of the site, and stated that more trees will be coming down at the top of the hill given the filing of Arbella that is in front of the ZBA. Mr. Hakansson explained that the problem may be exacerbated. Mr. Crouch said that supposedly, there is a swale at the top of the hill and the swale is intended to intercept surface flow and should carry the water away from the slope so it is not just flowing down.

Ms. Van Lancker said that in the email that the Agent forwarded, Mr. David Mackwell [Kelly Engineering] said that he was looking for action items. Ms. Van Lancker asked if the Commission can ask for planting on the hillside with shrubs, and for a sign to pick up dog waste. Mr. Crouch said that there are trash receptacles on the site, in yet, the tenants are not using them. Mr. Toledo said it was not just dog waste, but it was trash that was discarded over the hill toward the wetland. Mr. Toledo said that they can't even get that right. Mr. Crouch said that somebody threw trash over the slope, and that Mr. Mackwell was surprised to see that during the site inspection. Mr. Hakansson said that it was surprising that it is only three years old, because it looks like a dump.

Mr. Crouch said that they need to keep the wetland clean and this should be a new standard condition. Mr. Hakansson said that we should keep this in the record. Ms. Van Lancker asked if there was a condition about trash in the OOC. The Agent pulled the OOC and the Commission reviewed the ongoing conditions.

322 Mr. Toledo said that there were a ton of discarded Christmas trees. The Agent said that the
323 apartment management needs to send out letters, but they would have to do that regularly. Mr.
324 Crouch said that this was a good point. Mr. Hakansson said that this is what happens when
325 you build apartments—there is no investment, by the tenants. Ms. Van Lancker said that they
326 should clean-up the trash before the COC is issued. Mr. Crouch said that they can do that.
327 Ms. Van Lancker said that we need something from the apartment’s management company
328 explaining what they are going to do about that. Ms. Van Lancker explained that they need to
329 indicate if they will send letters or install signs.

330

331 The Agent recapped what is needed:

- 332 • Remove the dog waste and the trash
- 333 • Create a plan on how they will notify tenants now and into the future.

334

335 Mr. Hakansson said add signs with some language on prohibiting the discarding of trash and
336 dog waste. Mr. Crouch asked if it needs to be in several languages. Mr. Toledo said that there
337 were signs on the trash receptacles. Mr. Crouch said that they need to put signs in the area
338 between the trash cans.

339

340 Mr. Hakansson said that they applied with the Select Board to release funds and the Board
341 voted to hold it contingent on the issuance of the a COC.

342

343 Ms. Van Lancker said that the water is going down. Mr. Crouch said that they have rebuilt the
344 slope and said that it had not gotten worse. Mr. Crouch said that they were going to wait and
345 see.

346

347 Mr. Moulton said that silt sacks need to be removed in some areas.

348

349 Ms. Van Lancker asked about the slope. Ms. Van Lancker asked that if something happens, can
350 the Commission issue an Enforcement Order. Mr. Crouch said maybe, and that it would have
351 to impact a wetland, but it would likely impact the basin. Ms. Van Lancker asked if this would
352 trigger an enforcement action under the Stormwater Management Bylaw. Mr. Crouch said it
353 would.

354

355 **Mr. Crouch recused himself from the Duplicate OOC 95-902**

356

357 **9:00 Request for duplicate OOC, 95-902, Jonathan Kazarian, restoration and**
358 **landscape improvements**

359 Mr. Jon Kazarian (Owner and Applicant) was present for the discussion.

360

361 The Agent provided a brief summary of the site and the permit. The Agent said that Mr.
362 Kazarian had come in front of the Commission on February, 24, 2020 to discuss an extension
363 of his permit. The Agent stated that the Commission was looking for the Applicant to get the
364 OOC recorded. The Commission had allowed him to remove the bittersweet but wanted to
365 have the area monitored. The Agent stated that the Commission did not make a vote then, but
366 just had a discussion. The Agent said that the question now is how does the Commission want
367 to handle this given that the OOC needs to be recorded.

368

369 Mr. Moulton recapped, and asked if a request came in prior to the expiration. The Agent said
370 that the request was made before the OOC expired. Mr. Kazarian said that there was a lot
371 going on. The Agent stated that in 2020, the Governor had passed legislature that would
372 function similarly to the previous Permit Extension Act. The bill would freeze the expiration
373 date of those permits. Mr. Moulton said that the Order was not recorded. The Agent said that
374 this is correct. Mr. Moulton said that he thinks that they would have wanted the OOC recorded
375 before an extension is issued. The Agent said that she suspected that was the case, but the
376 minutes fail to reflect that. Mr. Kazarian said that everything stopped due to the state of
377 emergency.

378
379 Mr. Moulton said that right now, Mr. Kazarian is looking for a duplicate OOC, because the
380 original had been lost. Mr. Kazarian confirmed this.

381
382 Mr. Moulton asked if the issues that were identified during the February 24, 2020 Conservation
383 Commission Meeting, were addressed. Mr. Kazarian said that part of the OOC was to remove
384 the bittersweet which he did, because it overtook the fence and collapsed it. Mr. Kazarian said
385 that the full scope has not been worked on, because he needs to get the right permits. Mr.
386 Kazarian explained that they needed to get the OOC recorded, but the State of Emergency was
387 put in effect, and everything shut down in the same week.

388
389 The Agent said that Mr. Kazarian has another request to the Commission, which is to replace
390 the fence that was knocked down by the bittersweet. The Agent said that it is a minor activity
391 and is exempt under the Wetlands Protection Act provided it does not bar wildlife movements.

392
393 Mr. Kazarian said that he would like to replace the fence within the 100' Buffer Zone. Mr.
394 Kazarian said that they are hoping to push the fence back as far as they can in the buffer zone
395 [toward the 25' No Disturb Zone]. Mr. Moulton said let's deal with the duplicate OOC.

396
397 Mr. Toledo, made a motion, seconded by Ms. Van Lancker to issue a duplicate OOC. Motion
398 passed 5-0-0. (Roll call vote: CH, GT, GW, CVL, WM).

399
400 Mr. Kazarian further explained his desire for the fence. Mr. Moulton said that he thinks it
401 would be helpful if they had a plan and something in writing detailing what he wants and
402 where. Mr. Moulton said that an amended OOC is needed, although the Agent said that fences
403 are exempt. The Agent said that fences are exempt provided they do not bar wildlife
404 movement. Mr. Moulton said that he thinks it would be good for the Commission to receive
405 plans.

406
407 Mr. Moulton asked if the Commission needs to deal with an Extension. The Agent stated that
408 she needs to find out if the state of emergency extended the Applicant's OOC. The Agent
409 explained that this needs to come back to the Commission on May 10, 2021, to discuss what
410 counsel says and determine the Applicant's next steps. Mr. Moulton asked for plans to be
411 submitted to the Commission.

412
413 Mr. Kazarian asked if they can replace the front part of the fence that is out of the 100-foot
414 buffer zone, and save the part in the buffer zone for the permits and approvals. Mr. Moulton
415 said that is fine.

416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453

Mr. Toledo asked if he would consider adding a gate as opposed to extending the fence line further toward the resource area. Mr. Kazarian said that he considered that, but once the bittersweet was removed, he saw the trees coming back, and it is land that he would like to enjoy within the confines of his property. Mr. Kazarian said that the plan would never be to level everything out, as he would like to keep it as natural as possible. Mr. Moulton said to send the Commission the plans.

9:16 Agent’s Announcements

The Agent announced that 95-944 for 9 Pennock Road has been appealed. Mr. Crouch asked who appealed it. The Agent said that the neighbor appealed it.

9:19 Member Prerogative

Mr. Hakansson said that the pipe was removed at Summit Pointe Drive and the pool does have water. Mr. Wands said that he heard the mating frogs in March. Mr. Crouch said that it is good that project was successful and that the pool was not full of algae.

Mr. Moulton said that on Cedar Street, they wanted to leave in boulders between the wetland and the wetland replication area. Mr. Moulton asked if everyone is okay with that. Ms. Van Lancker asked how they will be installed. Mr. Moulton said that they will be line up to prevent people from backing into the replication area. Mr. Crouch said that stacking the boulders provides better habitat.

9:27 Meeting Adjournment

A motion was made by Ms. Van Lancker, and seconded Mr. Hakansson to adjourn the meeting. Motion passed 6-0-0. (Roll call vote: CH, KN, GT, GW, CVL, WM, GC).

Documents Reviewed by the Conservation Commission on 4/26/ 2021

- Document entitled, *Agenda* dated 4/26/2021
- Plans entitled, *Plan for RDA of Land in Ashland Massachusetts*, for 32 Nickerson Road, and dated 4/7/2021
- Plans entitled, *Existing Conditions Plan, Main Street Bridge*, and dated 3/10/2021
- Presentation entitled, *Waushakum Pond: Eutrophication Program 2021*
- Plans entitles, *Utility Location Plan: The Lanterns at Warren Woods*, and dated 4/1/2021
- Document entitled, *WPA Form 5: Order of Conditions* for Cirrus Apartments
- Document entitled, *Meeting Minutes* for the Ashland Conservation Commission, dated 2/24/2020